The European environment – state and outlook 2020

SOER 2020



The SOER has a long history at the EEA





	- report (151 pp) + summary
SOER 1995	- addresses 5 EAP targets
	- focus on sectoral integration

- big report (446 pp) + summary **SOER 1999** - addresses environmental trends

- focus on DPSIR, link between issues

- bigger report (569 pp, Parts A, B & C)
- SOER 2005 addresses air, water, land
 - focus on DPSIR, core set of indicators
 - several reports (Parts A, B, C + Synthesis)
- **SOER 2010** addresses 6EAP priority areas
 - focus on systemic challenges

- several reports (A, B, C + Synthesis), largely web-based

- **SOER 2015** addresses 7EAP priority areas
 - focus on the need for systemic transitions

Evolving understanding of challenges and responses

Character key challe	risation of enges	Key features	In the spotlight in	Policy approaches (examples)
Specific	• •	linear cause-effect large (point) sources often local	1970s / 1980s (continuing today)	targeted policies and single-issue instruments
Diffuse		cumulative causes multiple sources often regional	1980s / 1990s (continuing today)	policy integration and raising public awareness
Systemic		systemic causes interlinked sources often global	1990s / 2000s (continuing today)	policy coherence and systemic approaches (a green economy?)



SOER both reflects and informs EU environmental policy

SOER 2010's analysis of the European environment's state, trends and outlook reflected the logic of the EU's 6th Environment Action Programme







SOER 2010: influence on the 7EAP

SOER 2010's emphasis on natural capital, resource efficiency and human well-being was subsequently taken up in the 7EAP's priority objectives 1-3.



Other key messages on topics such as policy integration, implementation and knowledge development were reflected in the other 7EAP priority objectives.



SOER 2015



The European environment — state and outlook 2015

Based on a thorough review of Europe's environmental state, trends and outlook, SOER 2015 reflects on how to bring policies, knowledge, investments and innovations into line with Europe's 2050 sustainability vision.

The structure reflects the MDIAK logic – building up from data and indicators to provide a comprehensive assessment of past trends and future outlooks.

SOER 2015 Synthesis report

SOER 2015 Assessment of global megatrends

Global	European	Cross-country	Countries and regions
megatrends	briefings	comparisons	
11 briefings	25 briefings	9 briefings	39+3 briefings



Evaluating environmental trends against the EU's 2050 vision

'In 2050, we live well, within the planet's ecological limits'

The Synthesis addresses the three thematic priority objectives of the 7EAP:

1. Protecting, conserving and enhancing natural capital

2. Resource efficiency and the lowcarbon economy

3. Safeguarding from environmental risks to health





Recent trends and outlook in 7EAP priority areas 1–3

SOER 2015 highlighted notable progress on efficiency but challenges with resilience and well-being. The long-term outlook is worrying.



Improving trends dominate Trends show mixed picture Deteriorating trends dominate





Understanding past trends and future outlooks

Two major factors explain the uneven progress and prospects:

The changing global context

- Competition for resources
- Pressures from outside Europe
- Planetary boundaries

The systemic characteristics of environmental challenges

- Complexity and uncertainties
- Interdependencies within and across systems
- Lock-ins, feedbacks, trade-offs



Achieving sustainability will require systemic transitions



THE EUROPEAN ENVIRONMENT STATE AND OUTLOOK 2015

SYNTHESIS REPORT

European Environment Agency

"Living well within ecological limits will require fundamental transitions in the systems of production and consumption that are the root cause of environmental and climate pressures.

"Such transitions will entail profound changes in dominant institutions, practices, technologies, policies, lifestyles and thinking."



SOER 2020 planning



Factors shaping planning for SOER 2020

The need for more solutions-oriented knowledge



MORE SOLUTIONS-ORIENTED

PROBLEM-FOCUSED

European Environment Agency



Factors shaping planning for SOER 2020

The changing context for SOER 2020

- The evolving institutional and policy context
- The need for collaboration and co-creation of knowledge
- Evaluations of SOER 2015 and the related EEA Action Plan

Four guiding principles for SOER 2020

Project approach lean, mean, distributed	Outputs two assessments, one synthesis
Invoctmonte	Stakeholders
Investments	Stakenoluers



Synthesis = 1 + 2 + stakeholders

Part 1: State of the Environment Assessment

• Focusing on the state of Europe's environment in the context of drivers and policy responses

Part 2: Systems Assessment

 Focusing on long-term, global and systemic challenges, and transitions to sustainability





Policy context:	Environmental acquis
Timeframe:	Medium-term (1990-2005-2020-2030)
Analytical focuses:	Environmental themes, sectors, integrated analysis
Fwd-looking analysis:	Thematic outlooks (BAU scenario plus sensitivity analysis)
Stakeholder inputs:	Eionet country information inputs, etc.
Capacity- building:	Distance to target methodology, outlook methodology





• Context: setting the scene, mapping out the policy context and explaining the relationship to Parts 2 and the Synthesis





- Context: setting the scene, mapping out the policy context and explaining the relationship to Parts 2 and the Synthesis
- Core themes selected based on the EU's environmental acquis





- Context: setting the scene, mapping out the policy context and explaining the relationship to Parts 2 and the Synthesis
- Core themes selected based on the EU's environmental acquis
- Cross-cutting elements integrate country perspectives and address policy implementation and analysis





- Context: setting the scene, mapping out the policy context and explaining the relationship to Parts 2 and the Synthesis
- Core themes selected based on the EU's environmental acquis
- Cross-cutting elements integrate country perspectives and address policy implementation and analysis
- The integrated assessment focuses on Europe's performance against the 7EAP priority objectives 1–3



Part 2: Proposed aims, logic and structure

Part 2: SYSTEMS ASSESSMENT	Policy context:	7th EAP (and related long-term policy, e.g. LCE, CE), Juncker agenda, SDGs
SUSTAINABILITY GOALS AND CHALLENGES 7EAP vision: 'Living well within the planet's limits Circular economy Decarbonisation	Timeframe:	To 2050+
Natural capital Health and wellbeing Global context Global megatrends Planetary boundaries SDGs	Analytical focuses:	Global context; socio-technical, socio- ecological, socio-economic systems
UNDERSTANDING SYSTEMS Core societal systems with most environmental burden food, energy, mobility, urban) and key supporting systems (fiscal_finance)	Fwd-looking	and transitions Global megatrends horizon scanning
Socio-technical, -economic and -ecological perspectives Systems interactions, feedbacks, lock-ins	analysis:	transitions, systemic risks
Environmental impacts and resource nexus SUPPORTING TRANSITIONS	Stakeholder	Selected stakeholders, reflection on forward-looking aspects. Figure 1
Pathways, trade-offs, systemic risks Learning, innovation and experimentation	Canacity-	Sustainability assessment / forward-
Policy coherence, innovative and adaptive governance Knowledge, networks and partnerships	building:	looking assessment

European Environment Agency

Part 2 responds to the challenges identified in SOER 2015

Part 2: SYSTEMS ASSESSMENT	
SUSTAINABILITY GOALS AND CHALLENGES	
7EAP vision: 'Living well within the planet's limits Circular economy Decarbonisation Natural capital Health and wellbeing	
Global context Global megatrends Planetary boundaries SDGs	
UNDERSTANDING SYSTEMS	Ī
Core societal systems with most environmental burden ood, energy, mobility, urban) and key supporting systems (fiscal, finance)	
Socio-technical, -economic and -ecological perspectives	
Systems interactions, feedbacks, lock-ins Environmental impacts and resource nexus	
SUPPORTING TRANSITIONS	
Pathways, trade-offs, systemic risks	
Learning, innovation and experimentation	
Policy coherence, innovative and adaptive governance	
Knowledge networks and nartherships	

"The systemic nature of the problems and dynamics identified here necessitates systemic solutions."

SOER 2015 Synthesis

Why do we need change?

Long-term sustainability goals and the changing global context

What do we need to change?

 Understanding core societal systems and barriers to transformation

How can we achieve needed change?

 Initiatives, policies, knowledge and governance approaches to support transitions



Why do we need to change?

The Anthropocene: global megatrends and planetary boundaries necessitate action





European Environment Agency



What do we need to change?

"Living well within ecological limits will require fundamental transitions in the systems ... that are the root cause of environmental and climate pressures."

SOER 2015 Synthesis

Source: EEA





Analysing key systems

UNDERSTANDING SYSTEMS

Core societal systems with most environmental burden (food, energy, mobility, urban) and key supporting systems (fiscal, finance)

Socio-technical, -economic and -ecological perspectives

Systems interactions, feedbacks, lock-ins

Environmental impacts and resource nexus

- In addressing key societal systems, Part 2 could:
- Explain the logic of systems thinking and transitions
- Map systems and their characteristics, including environmental impacts
- Illustrate lock-ins, feedbacks, uncertainties, leverage points, etc.
- Describe systemic interactions (e.g. resource nexus)

Identifying which systems to address and which analytical approaches to employ is an initial priority.



How to map core production-consumption systems?



How can we achieve the needed change?

SUPPORTING TRANSITIONS

Pathways, trade-offs, systemic risks Learning, innovation and experimentation Policy coherence, innovative and adaptive governance Knowledge, networks and partnerships

Transitions are complex, emergent processes, entailing changes in institutions, practices, technologies, policies, lifestyles and thinking.

They cannot simply be managed in a topdown way (although governments have an essential role to play).



Source: based on Geels

How can we achieve the needed change?

Part 2 could explain and illustrate the role of:

- The EU, governments at all scales and policy mixes in enabling transitions
- Innovation, experimentation, investment, upscaling addressing new technologies, practices, lifestyles, values, policies, institutions
- Institutional change, open governance and networking for creating and sharing knowledge and supporting upscaling
- Forward-looking assessment tools and adaptive governance approaches in steering highly complex and uncertain transition processes

Case studies will help integrate country perspectives, illustrate and explain concepts, and engage audiences

Partnerships will be key given the EEA's constraints in capacity and resources

Synthesis: proposed aims, logic and structure

SYNTHESIS = 1 + 2 + stakeholders

Policy context:	Environmental acquis, 7th EAP, Juncker agenda, SDGs
Timeframe:	Medium to long term (1990-2015-2050)
Analytical focuses:	No new analysis, stakeholder reflection on Parts 1 and 2, updated piano table
Forward-looking analysis:	Updated piano table, green growth, low-carbon /circularity prospects
Stakeholder process:	Engagement with key EEA stakeholders (EC, EP, countries) to reflect on the findings in Parts 1 and 2, in particular piano table and forward-looking aspects



SOER 2020: the complete structure

Part 3: SYNTHESIS = 1 + 2 + stakeholders

Part 1: SOE Assessment



Part 2: SYSTEMS ASSESSMENT

SUSTAINABILITY GOALS AND CHALLENGES

7EAP vision: 'Living well within the planet's limitsCircular economyDecarbonisationNatural capitalHealth and wellbeing

Global context

Global megatrends Planetary boundaries SDGs

UNDERSTANDING SYSTEMS

Core societal systems with most environmental burden (food, energy, mobility, urban) and key supporting systems (fiscal, finance)

Socio-technical, -economic and -ecological perspectives

Systems interactions, feedbacks, lock-ins

Environmental impacts and resource nexus

SUPPORTING TRANSITIONS

Pathways, trade-offs, systemic risks

Learning, innovation and experimentation

Policy coherence, innovative and adaptive governance

Knowledge, networks and partnerships



SOER 2020 project plan and implementation in 2017



SOER 2020: overall timeline and milestones



SOER 2020 – Eionet interactions



Eionet involvement in the SOER 2020 process will be described in the Implementation plan alongside other stakeholder engagement. It is likely to include:

- NFP coordination in countries and link to MB
- Review
- **Dialogue EEA-Eionet and within Eionet** (exchange views, feedback)
- Inputs (existing data and information provided via regular processes, plus potential additional inputs e.g. case studies)
- Co-created knowledge/ information
- Participation in the Advisory group for Part 2 (and 1?)
- Participation and contribution to the 'winter school'



SOER 2020: NRC SoE and FLIS contributions

NRC SoE contributions to Part 1 (and 2)

Feedback on SOER2020 prototypes and Implementation plan

Capacity-building and sharing experiences,

for example on summary trends assessments (piano table)

Support the organisation of **review process**

Discussion on **potential country inputs and ways to link to national SoE** and processes

Is an advisory group needed for Part 1?

NRC SOE

NRC FLIS contributions to Part 2

Co-creation of information on:

- Horizon scanning detection and analyses of key emerging issues, risks and opportunities
- GMT impacts at national and European levels Eionet report in 2018

2 working group: expression of interest by 13 March 2017

Capacity-building forward-looking and systems assessments:

- 'Winter school' contribution
- Guidebook on sustainability assessment

Advisory group Part 2 - participation



European Environment Agency



SOER 2020 NRC SoE/FLIS activities 2017

 Joint NRC FLIS/SoE meeting 26-28 September in Bratislava (SK)

	26 Sept	27 Sept		28 Sept
NRC FLIS	½ day WGs meeting	Joint	Annual	Annual
NRC SoE		prototypes	Annual	

- NRC FLIS: 2 expert meetings, 2 or 3 webinars (tbd)
- NRC SoE: 1 webinar (tbd)



Thank you



SOER 2020 content development and governance structure





Essential framework activities

- **Data and indicator systems**: dynamic, interactive, accessible systems to support the SOER 2020 'snapshot', e.g. Reportnet
- **Partnerships and stakeholders**: EKC, Eionet, academic partners to support co-creation and manage resource constraints
- **Training and capacity-building**: focusing learning on knowledge and skills needed for SOER 2020
- Web presentation: website navigation, presentation, visualisation



Next steps in 2017

Prototypes for Parts 1 and 2 will clarify the assessment focus and structure, knowledge needs and investment priorities

Communication Plan: COM will prepare a plan for production, dissemination, events and outreach

Implementation Plan: complementing the Project Plan and prototypes with more detail on outputs, timelines, resources, etc.

Meetings with stakeholders: Scientific Committee, NFPs and Management Board (March), NRCs (Autumn), Commission services, etc.

Building blocks and learning: developing needed evidence and skills to deliver Parts 1 and 2



39

EEA is exploring different systems and analytical approaches



The systemic perspective and the SDGs

Acknowledging that sustainability depends on transforming multi-functional societal systems leads to a narrative addressing the many dimensions of human well-being



Part 2: SYSTEMS ASSESSMENT				
SUSTAI	NABILITY	GOA	LS AND CHALLENGES	
7EAP	7EAP Green,		ular, low-carbon economy	
2050	Natura	al cap	oital, green infrastructure	
vision		Well-being, SDGs		
Global			Global megatrends	
context	context		Planetary boundaries	
UNDERSTANDING SYSTEMS				
Core social-	Core social-ecological-		Environmental impacts	
economic systems:				
economic s	ystems:	Loc	k-ins, feedbacks, trade-offs	
economic s food, energ urban, wate	ystems: y, mobility, er, land,	Loc	ck-ins, feedbacks, trade-offs Systemic risks	
economic sy food, energy urban, wate fiscal, finan	ystems: y, mobility, er, land, ce, etc.	Loc	ck-ins, feedbacks, trade-offs Systemic risks System interactions	
economic s food, energ urban, wat fiscal, finan	ystems: y, mobility, er, land, ce, etc. SUPPORT	Loc	ck-ins, feedbacks, trade-offs Systemic risks System interactions TRANSITIONS	
economic s food, energ urban, wate fiscal, finan Policy mix admir	ystems: y, mobility, er, land, ce, etc. SUPPORT es and pub histration	Loc ING lic	ck-ins, feedbacks, trade-offs Systemic risks System interactions TRANSITIONS Innovation, learning, experimentation	



The 7EAP priority objectives and the SDGs

Priority objective 1: to protect, conserve and enhance the Union's natural capital (SDGs 6, 14, 15)

Priority objective 2: to turn the Union into a resource-efficient, green, and competitive low-carbon economy (SDGs 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13)

Priority objective 3: to safeguard the Union's citizens from environment-related pressures and risks to health and wellbeing (SDGs 2, 3)



Potential roles for the Scientific Committee

- Quality assurance of analysis across SOER 2020
- Seminars to explore key topics
- Membership of the Part 2 external advisory group
- Contributions to training (e.g. 'summer school')
- Individual contributions and interactions re the assessments





SOER 2020 Project Plan development

Autumn 2016 – February 2017

- Internal meetings and consultations to agree SOER 2020 structure, governance, resource needs and framework activities (data, web, training etc.)
- DG ENV consultations in October and December
- SMT review of the draft project plan on 28 November
- Initial discussion with Management Board and Bureau in December and January
- Finalisation of the project plan due by mid-February



Systems, resources and EU policies

Low carbon economy



Circular economy



Shared barriers to change across diverse systems

Scientists have analysed complex societal systems from diverse disciplinary perspectives.

Their analysis points to various shared systemic characteristics and barriers to change.



